

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 4 Dec 2006

Document: ACE/68/-/4.0007/06

BSI-GB comments on ISO/CDC 22009

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
GB	3.2		ed	Typo	"Field" instead of "field"	CLOSED: Accepted and implemented.
GB	5		ed	Typo	"where these data" instead of "where the these data"	CLOSED: Accepted and implemented.
GB	5		te	The sentence "The statement about the rms errors during the model calculations comparison with observational data obtained from measurements should be included" is not enough precise	We should add that for empirical model, comparisons should be made with data, different from those from which the model was built.	CLOSED: Accepted in modified form: "Comparisons should also be made with data, different from those from which the model was built".
GB	B.1		ge	It's written that the Annex B on Submodels is normative but that "These submodels are not the standardisation objects".	Shouldn't this Annex B be only informative ?	CLOSED: Accepted and implemented.
FR	3.2		ed	Typo	"Field" instead of "field"	CLOSED: Accepted and implemented.
FR	5		ed	Typo	"where these data" instead of "where the these data"	CLOSED: Accepted and implemented.
FR	5		te	The sentence "The statement about the rms errors during the model calculations comparison with observational data obtained from measurements should be included" is not enough precise	We should add that for empirical model, comparisons should be made with data, different from those from which the model was built.	CLOSED: Accepted in modified form: "Comparisons should also be made with data, different from those from which the model was built".
FR	B.1		ge	It's written that the Annex B on Submodels is normative but that "These submodels are not the standardisation objects".	Shouldn't this Annex B be only informative ?	CLOSED: Accepted and implemented.

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 4 Dec 2006	Document: ACE/68/-/4.0007/06
------------------	------------------------------

BSI-GB comments on ISO/CDC 22009

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
JP	(General)		ge	The term "Working example" is unclear meaning.	Definition of the term should be needed. And relation between "Working example" and "normative" is also unclear.	CLOSED: Accepted and partially implemented. An explanation of the term "Working example" is given in Introduction as the model satisfying the set of requirements. Annex A is renamed as informative.
JP	Annex		te	Error value of the model is not described in the Annexes.	Error of the model also should be described in the Annexes.	CLOSED: Accepted and implemented in Section A.7. The more information is presented in the Explanatory report.
JP	3.2 5. Annex		te	The standard document describes 'process based approach', but only one model is shown in the Annex, without error value.	In 'process based approach', at least two or three candidate models should be shown in the standard with those error values. And the examples of models which satisfy criteria should be shown in the section 5.	CLOSED: Accepted and partially implemented in Section A.8. List of the relevant Tsyganenko models existing on this day is currently presented. "examples of models which satisfy criteria" are presented in the Annex. Actually, this is working example – paraboloid model.

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.